<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Review Panel Participant Names:</th>
<th>Date of Review:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Recommended for full/partial/denial:**

**Amount Federal Recommended:**

**Amount Match Commitment:**

**Justification for recommendation/partial funding/denial:**

1. Is the Cover Sheet complete and signed?  
   - Yes  
   - No  
   *If no, do not continue scoring*

2. Is the NIMS Resolution attached?  
   - Yes  
   - No  
   *If no, do not continue scoring*

3. Are Application questions 1-5 answered for each activity?  
   - Yes  
   - No  
   *If no, do not continue scoring*

4. Is the activity description sufficient to determine eligibility?  
   - Yes  
   - No  
   *If no, do not continue scoring*

5. Is there a detailed budget for each activity?  
   - Yes  
   - No  
   *If no, do not continue scoring*

6. Are matching funds described in detail for each activity?  
   - Yes  
   - No  
   *If no, do not continue scoring*

7. If a planning activity, does Local Preparedness Program agree with eligibility and is the activity identified in the IPP?  
   - Yes  
   - No  
   - Eligible  
   - In IPP  
   *If no, do not continue scoring*

8. If a training/exercise activity, does Training/Exercise Program agree with eligibility and is the activity identified in the IPP?  
   - Yes  
   - No  
   - Eligible  
   - In IPP  
   *If no, do not continue scoring*

9. Is an Environmental Historic Preservation (EHP) screening form included for the activity requested?  
   - Yes  
   - No  
   *If no for a required EHP activity, do not continue scoring*

10. If a communications activity, does SWIC agree with eligibility?  
    - Yes  
    - No  
    - Eligible  
    *If no, do not continue scoring*

11. Project, Scope of Work, and Timeline Description  
    *Up to 30 points for high level of detail*
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reviewers Notes:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>12. Describe the Gap or Need for Sustainment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reviewers Notes:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13. Detailed Budget and Matching funds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reviewers Notes:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14. If a scalable project, did the applicant include sufficient detail to describe the revised Scope of Work, gap assessment, and budget.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reviewers Notes:</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>QUESTIONS 11-13 SCORE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SCALABLE PROJECT SCORE (14)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>